



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/510,147

03/24/2005

Martin J. Oliver

57767US005

6332

32692 7590 04/16/2008
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY
PO BOX 33427
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427

EXAMINER

ALSTRUM ACEVEDO, JAMES HENRY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1616

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/16/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com
LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/510,147	Applicant(s) OLIVER ET AL.	
	Examiner JAMES H. ALSTRUM ACEVEDO	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:

(1) JAMES H. ALSTRUM ACEVEDO.

(2) Mr. Ted K. Ringsred, Esq.

Date of Interview: 2 April 2008

Status of Application: Abandoned

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: ~6:37 pm EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

The Examiner called and left Mr. Ringsred a voice mail message inquiring about the status of the instant application. Mr. Ringsred left the Examiner a voice mail message indicating that Applicants' chose not to respond to the outstanding office action mailed on August 30, 2007. The instant application is abandoned.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Johann Richter/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

